



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/594,546	06/22/2007	Ryoichi Sasano	060745	1923
23850 7590 09/18/2009 KRATZ, QUINTOS & HANSON, LLP 1420 K Street, N.W. Suite 400 WASHINGTON, DC 20005				
EXAMINER THERKORN, ERNEST G				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
1797				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
09/18/2009		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/594,546

Applicant(s)

SASANO ET AL.

Examiner

Ernest G. Therborn

Art Unit

1797

Period for Reply -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 6/23/09.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1, 4-9 and 12-16 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1, 4-9 and 12-16 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/5508)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____

- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 4-9, and 12-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over either Price (U.S. Patent No. 5,439,593) or Cook (U.S. Patent No. 6,761,885) in view of either Jaworek (U.S. Patent No. 3,763,879) or Vidalinc (U.S. Patent Publication No. 2006/0118471). At best, the claims differ from each of Price (U.S. Patent No. 5,439,593) and Cook (U.S. Patent No. 6,761,885) in reciting the surfaces are flush. Jaworek (U.S. Patent No. 3,763,879) (column 1, lines 29-36, column 2, lines 1-4 and 20-25, and column 6, lines 23-25) discloses that columns made from segments having substantially the same internal diameter allow the creation of columns having of any desired but precisely defined length. Vidalinc (U.S. Patent Publication No. 2006/0118471) (paragraph 41 and Figure 3) discloses that modules of the same cross-section allow the stationary phase to act as a monoblock and the mobile phase to have laminar flow. It would have been obvious to have flush surfaces in either Price (U.S. Patent No. 5,439,593) or Cook (U.S. Patent No. 6,761,885) either because Jaworek (U.S. Patent No. 3,763,879) (column 1, lines 29-36, column 2, lines 1-4 and 20-25, and column 6, lines 23-25) discloses that columns made from segments having substantially the same internal diameter allow the creation of columns having of any desired but precisely defined length or because Vidalinc (U.S. Patent Publication No.

2006/0118471) (paragraph 41 and Figure 3) discloses that modules of the same cross-section allow the stationary phase to act as a monoblock and the mobile phase to have laminar flow.

Claims 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either Price (U.S. Patent No. 5,439,593) or Cook (U.S. Patent No. 6,761,885) in view of either Jaworek (U.S. Patent No. 3,763,879) or Vidalinc (U.S. Patent Publication No. 2006/0118471) as applied to claims 1, 4-9, and 12-16 above, and further in view of either August (U.S. Patent No. 6,530,288) or Serenko (U.S. Patent No. 5,989,424). At best, the claims differ from either Price (U.S. Patent No. 5,439,593) or Cook (U.S. Patent No. 6,761,885) in reciting use of projections. August (U.S. Patent No. 6,530,288) (column 4, lines 30-44) discloses that use of projections support a frit and form channels. Serenko (U.S. Patent No. 5,989,424) (column 6, lines 16-44) discloses projections may be used to support a filter. It would have been obvious to use projections in either Price (U.S. Patent No. 5,439,593) or Cook (U.S. Patent No. 6,761,885) in view of either Jaworek (U.S. Patent No. 3,763,879) or Vidalinc (U.S. Patent Publication No. 2006/0118471) either because August (U.S. Patent No. 6,530,288) (column 4, lines 30-44) discloses that use of projections support a frit and form channels or because Serenko (U.S. Patent No. 5,989,424) (column 6, lines 16-44) discloses projections may be used to support a filter.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable either Price (U.S. Patent No. 5,439,593) or Cook (U.S. Patent No. 6,761,885) in view of either Jaworek (U.S. Patent No. 3,763,879) or Vidalinc (U.S. Patent Publication No.

Art Unit: 1797

2006/0118471) and either August (U.S. Patent No. 6,530,288) or Serenko (U.S. Patent No. 5,989,424) as applied to claims 5 and 6 above, and further in view of each of Muller (U.S. Patent No. 4,732,687) and Radnoti (U.S. Patent No. 4,055,498). At best, the claim differs from either Price (U.S. Patent No. 5,439,593) or Cook (U.S. Patent No. 6,761,885) in view of either Jaworek (U.S. Patent No. 3,763,879) or Vidalinc (U.S. Patent Publication No. 2006/0118471) and either August (U.S. Patent No. 6,530,288) or Serenko (U.S. Patent No. 5,989,424) in reciting use of a removable portion. Muller (U.S. Patent No. 4,732,687) (column 3, line 62-column 4, line 5) discloses use of a screw connection allows exchanging the frit in a problem-free manner. Radnoti (U.S. Patent No. 4,055,498) (column 1, lines 25-35 and column 2, lines 16-25) discloses use of a screw cap allows replacement of a filter disc. It would have been obvious to have a removable portion in either Price (U.S. Patent No. 5,439,593) or Cook (U.S. Patent No. 6,761,885) in view of either Jaworek (U.S. Patent No. 3,763,879) or Vidalinc (U.S. Patent Publication No. 2006/0118471) and either August (U.S. Patent No. 6,530,288) or Serenko (U.S. Patent No. 5,989,424) either because Muller (U.S. Patent No. 4,732,687) (column 3, line 62-column 4, line 5) discloses use of a screw connection allows exchanging the frit in a problem-free manner or because Radnoti (U.S. Patent No. 4,055,498) (column 1, lines 25-35 and column 2, lines 16-25) discloses use of a screw cap allows replacement of a filter disc.

The remarks urge patentability based upon the use of Japanese priority documents 2004-105309 and 2004-293613 to antedate Vidalinc (U.S. Patent Publication No. 2006/0118471). However, both documents are in Japanese.

Accordingly, both documents would have to have a certified translation into English to antedate Vidalinc (U.S. Patent Publication No. 2006/0118471).

The remarks urge patentability over based upon having surfaces that are flush. However, Jaworek (U.S. Patent No. 3,763,879) (column 1, lines 29-36, column 2, lines 1-4 and 20-25, and column 6, lines 23-25) discloses that columns made from segments having substantially the same internal diameter allows the creation of columns having of any desired but precisely defined length. Vidalinc (U.S. Patent Publication No. 2006/0118471) (paragraph 41 and Figure 3) discloses that modules of the same cross-section allow the stationary phase to act as a monoblock and the mobile phase to have laminar flow. As such, it would have been obvious to have flush surfaces in either Price (U.S. Patent No. 5,439,593) or Cook (U.S. Patent No. 6,761,885) either because Jaworek (U.S. Patent No. 3,763,879) (column 1, lines 29-36, column 2, lines 1-4 and 20-25, and column 6, lines 23-25) discloses that columns made from segments having substantially the same internal diameter allows the creation of columns having of any desired but precisely defined length or because Vidalinc (U.S. Patent Publication No. 2006/0118471) (paragraph 41 and Figure 3) discloses that modules of the same cross-section allow the stationary phase to act as a monoblock and the mobile phase to have laminar flow.

It is noted that the claims are limited to a single cartridge. As such, the limitation of having two cartridges flush would not appear to be positively recited.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to E. Therkorn at telephone number (571) 272-1149. The official fax number is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Ernest G. Therkorn/
Ernest G. Therkorn
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1797

EGT
September 15, 2009